arXiv:1609.09623v1 [math.AT] 30 Sep 2016

REEDY DIAGRAMS IN SYMMETRIC MONOIDAL MODEL CATEGORIES

MONCEF GHAZEL AND FETHI KADHI

ABSTRACT. Given a small category I and a closed symmetric monoidal category $\mathcal{M}$, we show that the diagram category $\mathcal{M}^I$ with the objectwise product is a closed symmetric monoidal category. We then prove that if I is a Reedy category and $\mathcal{M}$ has a model structure compatible with its product, then so is the Reedy model structure on $\mathcal{M}^I$ provided that $\mathcal{M}$ is cofibrantly generated.

1. Introduction

A monoidal model category is a Quillen model category which is also a monoidal category in a compatible way [Hovey, 1999]. A monoidal model category has several additional features; its homotopy category inherits a monoidal structure, moreover, under reasonable assumptions, monoids, modules and algebras over a given monoid have model structures with weak equivalences are the maps which are weak equivalences in the ground category [Hovey, 1998], [Schwede-Shipley, 2000].

A Reedy category is a small category I equipped with some additional structure making it possible to, by iterative process, construct diagrams of shape I in a given category. Given a Reedy category I and a model category $\mathcal{M}$, the category $\mathcal{M}^I$ of I-diagrams in $\mathcal{M}$ admits a model category structure for which the weak equivalences are the objectwise weak equivalences [Dwyer-Hirschhorn-Kan, 1997], [Hirschhorn, 2003], [Hovey, 1999]. If we further assume that $\mathcal{M}$ is a closed symmetric monoidal model category, then the diagram category $\mathcal{M}^I$ has a symmetric monoidal structure given by the objectwise product. Our objective in this paper is to prove that $\mathcal{M}^I$ is a closed symmetric monoidal model category, provided that $\mathcal{M}$ is cofibrantly generated with cofibrant unit.

Quite recently, Berger and Moerdijk extended the notion of Reedy Model structure to a useful wider class of small categories which is invariant under equivalence [Berger-Moerdijk, 2011], they further define a convolution product on the diagram category and prove that, under reasonable assumptions, the resulting category is a symmetric monoidal model category [Theorem 7.6 in [Berger-Moerdijk, 2011]] , this last theorem of theirs overlaps with our main result.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 55U35, 18D10, 19D23, 18D15.

Key words and phrases: Quillen model category, Reedy category, symmetric monoidal category, module over a symmetric monoidal category.

© Moncef Ghazel and Fethi Kadhi, 2016. Permission to copy for private use granted.

We next describe the plan of this work. In Section 2, we briefly review a special case of the enriched Yoneda's lemma and derive two lemmas for later use. In Section 3, we give a criteria for a functor of $\mathcal{M}$-modules from $\mathcal{M}^I$ to another $\mathcal{M}$-module to be a left adjoint and apply it to prove that the symmetric monoidal structure on $\mathcal{M}^I$ is closed, in Section 4 we prove the compatibility of the Reedy model structure on $\mathcal{M}^I$ with the monoidal product.

All categories considered in this paper are assumed to be locally small in the sense that the class of morphisms from one object to another is a set. For any category $\mathcal{C}$ and any two objects X, Y objects in $\mathcal{C}$, the set of morphisms from X to Y is denoted always denoted by $\mathcal{C}(X,Y)$.

2. Yoneda's lemma for symmetric monoidal categories

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a closed symmetric monoidal category. Recall that an $\mathcal{M}$-module is by definition a category $\mathcal{C}$ equipped with an action

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \otimes : & \mathcal{C}\times\mathcal{M} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C} \\ & (X,M) & \longmapsto & X\otimes M \end{array}$$

satisfying the expected coherence diagrams, a precise definition is given in [[Hovey, 1999] Definition 4.1.6]. Observe that $\mathcal{M}$ is symmetric and therefore a right or left action of $\mathcal{M}$ on $\mathcal{C}$ is a two sided action. A functor $F:\mathcal{C}\longrightarrow\mathcal{D}$ between $\mathcal{M}$-modules is called a functor of $\mathcal{M}$-modules if it commutes, up to natural isomorphisms, with the action of $\mathcal{M}$ and satisfies the expected coherence diagrams as described in [[Hovey, 1999] Definition 4.1.7] . An $\mathcal{M}$-module $\mathcal{C}$ is said to be closed if the action of $\mathcal{M}$ on $\mathcal{C}$ is two sided closed, i.e. if there are two functors

$$\begin{array}{rccccccc} Map_{\mathcal{C}} : & \mathcal{C}^{op}\times\mathcal{C} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M} & \mathcal{M}^{op}\times\mathcal{C} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C} \\ & (X,Y) & \longmapsto & Map_{\mathcal{C}}(X,Y) & (K,Y) & \longmapsto & Y^K \end{array}$$

such that for every K in $\mathcal{M}$ and X, Y in $\mathcal{C}$, there are natural isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{C}(X\otimes K,Y)\cong\mathcal{M}(K,Map_{\mathcal{C}}(X,Y))\cong\mathcal{C}(X,Y^K)$$

Throughout this section, I is assumed to be a small category, $\mathcal{M}$ is a bicomplete closed symmetric monoidal category with monoidal product $\otimes$, unit k and internal homomorphism functor denoted exponentially so that for M, N and P in $\mathcal{M}$, we have $\mathcal{M}(M\otimes N,P)\simeq\mathcal{M}(M,P^N)$. Let $\mathcal{M}^I$ the category of functors from I to $\mathcal{M}$. For X, Y in $\mathcal{M}^I$, the set of morphisms from X to Y in $\mathcal{M}^I$ is isomorphic to the end of the functor $I^{op}\times I\longrightarrow\mathcal{S}$ which takes (i,j) to $\mathcal{M}(X_i,Y_j)$, where $\mathcal{S}$ is the category of sets, i.e.

$$\mathcal{M}^I(X,Y)\cong\int_i\mathcal{M}(X_i,Y_i).$$

The functor $\mathcal{M}^I \times \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}^I$ which takes a pair (X, K) to the object $X \otimes K$ given by $(X \otimes K)_i = X_i \otimes K$ defines an $\mathcal{M}$-module structure on $\mathcal{M}^I$. We want to show that this action is closed. For X, Y be in $\mathcal{M}^I$ and K in $\mathcal{M}$, define Y^K to be the object of $\mathcal{M}^I$ given by $(Y^K)_i = Y_i^K$ and $Map_{\mathcal{M}^I}(X, Y) = \int_i Y_i^{X_i}$

2.1. Lemma. *$\mathcal{M}^I(X \otimes K, Y) \cong \mathcal{M}^I(X, Y^K) \cong \mathcal{M}(K, Map_{\mathcal{M}^I}(X, Y))$ so that $\mathcal{M}^I$ is a closed $\mathcal{M}$-module.*

Proof.

$$\mathcal{M}^I(X \otimes K, Y) \cong \int_i \mathcal{M}(X_i \otimes K, Y_i) \cong \int_i \mathcal{M}(X_i, Y_i^K) \cong \mathcal{M}^I(X, Y^K).$$

The functor $\mathcal{M}(K, .) : \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}$ preserves ends, therefore

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{M}(K, Map_{\mathcal{M}^I}(X, Y)) = \mathcal{M}(K, \int_i Y_i^{X_i}) \cong \int_i \mathcal{M}(K, Y_i^{X_i}) \\ \cong \int_i \mathcal{M}(X_i \otimes K, Y_i) \cong \mathcal{M}^I(X \otimes K, Y). \end{array}$$

For $i \in I$, define a functor $h_i : I \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ by $h_i(j) = \coprod_{I(i,j)} k$ the coproduct of as many copies of the unit k as there are elements in the hom set $I(i, j)$, for $\theta \in I(j, j')$, $h_i(\theta)$ is understood to be induced by the induced map $I(i, j) \longrightarrow I(i, j')$ ∎

The following result is a special case of the enriched Yoneda's lemma [Borceau, 1994], [Kelly, 2005].

2.2. Lemma. *The functors Ev and $\Gamma : \mathcal{M}^I \times I \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ defined by*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} Ev(X, i) & = & X_i \\ \Gamma(X, i) & = & Map_{\mathcal{M}^I}(h_i, X) \end{array}$$

are equivalent.

2.3. Lemma. *The i-evaluation functor $\mathcal{M}^I \xrightarrow{Ev_i} \mathcal{M}$, $i \in I$, is right adjoint with left adjoint the functor $\mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{F_i} \mathcal{M}^I$ given by $F_i(M) = h_i \otimes M$.*

Proof. Let $M \in \mathcal{M}$ and $X \in \mathcal{M}^I$

$$\mathcal{M}^I(F_i(M), X) \cong \mathcal{M}^I(h_i \otimes M, X) \cong \mathcal{M}(M, Map_{\mathcal{M}^I}(h_i, X)) \cong \mathcal{M}(M, Ev_i(X)).$$

where the last isomorphism is a consequence of Yoneda's Lemma 2.2. ∎

Define the differential of a functor $X : I \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ to be the functor $DX : I^{op} \times I \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}^I$ given by $DX(i,j) = h_i \otimes X_j$,

$$\begin{array}{rccl} DX: & I^{op} \times I & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}^I \\ & (i,j) & \longmapsto & h_i \otimes X_j : \ \begin{array}{ccc} I & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M} \\ q & \longmapsto & h_i(q) \otimes X_j \end{array} \end{array}$$

The following manifestation of Yoneda lemma is a special case of Proposition I.5.1 in [Dubuc], it justifies our terminology and may be thought of as a categorical analog of the fundamental theorem of calculus.

2.4. Lemma. *(Yoneda Reduction) Let $X \in \mathcal{M}^I$, then X is isomorphic to the coend of DX, i.e.*

$$X \cong \int^i DX(i,i)$$

Proof. For $Y \in \mathcal{M}^I$

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{M}^I(\int^i DX(i,i), Y) \cong \int_i \mathcal{M}^I(DX(i,i), Y) \cong \int_i \mathcal{M}^I(h_i \otimes X_i, Y) \\ \cong \int_i \mathcal{M}(X_i, Map_{\mathcal{M}^I}(h_i, Y)) \cong \int_i \mathcal{M}(X_i, Y_i) \cong \mathcal{M}^I(X, Y) \end{array}$$

thus $X \cong \int^i DX(i,i)$. ∎

3. A closed symmetric structure on the category of diagrams

Recall that a functor between two cocomplete categories is said to be cocontinuous if it preserves colimits. Still in this section, I is assumed to be a small category, $\mathcal{M}$ is a bicomplete closed symmetric monoidal category with monoidal product $\otimes$, unit k and internal homomorphism functor denoted exponentially.

3.1. Theorem. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a cocomplete $\mathcal{M}$-module and $\mathcal{M}^I \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{C}$ a functor of $\mathcal{M}$-modules then F is a left adjoint iff it is cocontinuous, in which case its right adjoint G is given $G(Y)_i = Map_{\mathcal{C}}(F(h_i), Y), i \in I$*

Proof. A left adjoint functor is cocontinuous. Conversely, suppose that F is cocontinuous and suppose that it has a right adjoint G. For $K \in \mathcal{M}$, $X \in \mathcal{M}^I$ and $Y \in \mathcal{C}$, we have

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{M}(K, Map_{\mathcal{C}}(F(X), Y)) \cong \mathcal{C}(F(X) \otimes K, Y) \cong \mathcal{C}(F(X \otimes K), Y) \\ \cong \mathcal{M}^I(X \otimes K, G(Y)) \cong \mathcal{M}(K, Map_{\mathcal{M}^I}(X, G(Y))) \end{array}$$

therefore $Map_{\mathcal{C}}(F(X), Y) \cong Map_{\mathcal{M}^I}(X, G(Y))$. In particular, when $X = h_i$, by Lemma 2.2, one has

$$G(Y)_i \cong Map_{\mathcal{M}^I}(h_i, G(Y)) \cong Map_{\mathcal{C}}(F(h_i), Y)$$

So define $G(Y)$ to be the object in $\mathcal{M}^I$ given by the previous formula, then

$$\begin{array}{rcll}
\mathcal{M}^I(X, G(Y)) & \cong & \int_i \mathcal{M}(X_i, G(Y)_i) & \\
& \cong & \int_i \mathcal{M}(X_i, Map_{\mathcal{C}}(F(h_i), Y)) & \\
& \cong & \int_i \mathcal{C}(F(h_i) \otimes X_i, Y) & \\
& \cong & \int_i \mathcal{C}(F(h_i \otimes X_i), Y) & (F \text{ is a functor of } \mathcal{M}\text{-modules}) \\
& \cong & \mathcal{C}(\int^i F(h_i \otimes X_i), Y) & \\
& \cong & \mathcal{C}(F(\int^i h_i \otimes X_i), Y) & (\text{cocontinuity of } F) \\
& \cong & \mathcal{C}(F(X), Y) & (\text{Lemma } 2.4)
\end{array}$$

G is a then a right adjoint of F. ∎

Let J be another small category and $J \xrightarrow{\phi} I$ a functor and $\Phi : \mathcal{M}^I \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}^J$ the functor be induced by ϕ. For $X \in \mathcal{M}^I$, $\Phi(X) = X \circ \phi$. Φ is a cocontinuous functor of $\mathcal{M}$-modules, by Theorem 3.1 one has the following

3.2. Corollary. *The functor Φ has a right adjoint functor Ψ given by*

$$\Psi(Y)_i = Map_{\mathcal{M}^J}(h_i \circ \phi, Y).$$

∎

For $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}^I$, let $X \otimes Y$ be the object of $\mathcal{M}^I$ defined by $(X \otimes Y)_i = X_i \otimes Y_i$, then $(\mathcal{M}^I, \otimes)$ is a symmetric monoidal category. Let $d : I \longrightarrow I \times I$ be the diagonal functor, i.e $d(i) = (i, i), i \in I$, d induces a functor $\mathcal{M}^{I \times I} \xrightarrow{R} \mathcal{M}^I$.

3.3. Corollary. *The functor $R : \mathcal{M}^{I \times I} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}^I$ has a right adjoint functor Q given by*

$$Q(Y)_{(i,j)} = Map_{\mathcal{M}^I}(h_i \otimes h_j, Y).$$

Proof. By Corollary 3.2, R has a right adjoint functor Q given by

$$Q(Y)_{(i,j)} = Map_{\mathcal{M}^I}(h_{(i,j)} \circ d, Y)$$

or $h_{(i,j)} \circ d = h_i \otimes h_j$, thus

$$Q(Y)_{(i,j)} = Map_{\mathcal{M}^I}(h_i \otimes h_j, Y)$$

. ∎

3.4. Example. *Let S and SS be the categories of simplicial sets an bisimplicial sets respectively, then $S = \mathscr{S}^{\Delta^{op}}$ and $SS = \mathscr{S}^{\Delta^{op} \times \Delta^{op}}$ where Δ is the category of finite ordinal numbers with order preserving maps and $\mathscr{S}$ is the category of sets. The functor*

$$Map_S : S \times S \longrightarrow \mathscr{S}$$

given by 2.1 is defined by $Map_S(K, L) = S(K, L)$ the set of maps in S from K to L. the diagonal map $\Delta^{op} \longrightarrow \Delta^{op} \times \Delta^{op}$ induces a left adjoint functor $R : SS \longrightarrow S$ whose right adjoint is the functor $Q : S \longrightarrow SS$ given by

$$Q(K)_{(n,m)} = S(\Delta^n \times \Delta^m, K)$$

(see 5.3 in [Hovey, 1999]).

Next, we show that the symmetric monoidal structure defined on $\mathcal{M}^I$ is closed.

3.5. Corollary. *$(\mathcal{M}^I, \otimes)$ is a closed symmetric monoidal category with internal homomorphism functor Hom given by*

$$Hom(Y,Z)_i = Map_{\mathcal{M}^I}(h_i \otimes Y, Z)$$

Proof. For $Y \in \mathcal{M}^I$ define a functor

$$F_Y : \mathcal{M}^I \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}^I$$

$$X \longmapsto X \otimes Y$$

F_Y is a cocontinuous functor of $\mathcal{M}$-modules, by Theorem 3.1, F_Y has a left adjoint functor G_Y given by $G_Y(Z)_i = Map_{\mathcal{M}^I}(h_i \otimes Y, Z)$. Define $Hom(Y,Z) = G_Y(Z)$, we then have $\mathcal{M}^I(X \otimes Y, Z) \cong \mathcal{M}^I(X, Hom(Y,Z))$ for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{M}^I$. ∎

4. The compatibility of the monoidal structure with the Reedy model structure

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a a closed symmetric monoidal category, I a Reedy category and I^+, I^- the direct and inverse subcategories of I which define the Reedy structure on I. The inclusion functor $I^+ \longrightarrow I$ induces a functor $\mathcal{M}^I \xrightarrow{T} \mathcal{M}^{I^+}$. By Corollary 3.2, T has a right adjoint functor S, suppose further that $\mathcal{M}$ has a model category structure and let $\mathcal{M}^I$ and $\mathcal{M}^{I^+}$ have the corresponding Reedy model category structures, then clearly, T preserves and reflects cofibrations and weak equivalences, in particular, the pair (T,S) is a Quillen adjunction.

4.1. Proposition. *(Lemma 4.2 in [Barwick]) Suppose that I is a Reedy category and $\mathcal{M}$ is a closed symmetric monoidal model category with cofibrant unit k, then the action of $\mathcal{M}$ on $\mathcal{M}^I$ is compatible with the Reedy model structure on $\mathcal{M}^I$.*

Proof. Step1. I is a direct category.
let $X \xrightarrow{p} Y$ be a fibration in $\mathcal{M}^I$ and $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ a cofibration in $\mathcal{M}$. The maps $X_i \xrightarrow{p_i} Y_i$ are fibrations in $\mathcal{M}$ which are trivial if p is, therefore the maps

$$X_i^B \longrightarrow Y_i^B \times_{Y_i^A} X_i^A$$

are fibrations in $\mathcal{M}$ which is are trivial if f or p is. Hence, $X^B \longrightarrow Y^B \times_{Y^A} X^A$ is a fibration in $\mathcal{M}^I$ which is trivial if f or p is, the result then follows from 4.2.2 in [Hovey, 1999].
Step 2. The general case.
Let I^+ and I^- be the direct and inverse subcategories of I which define the Reedy structure on I and $\mathcal{M}^I \xrightarrow{T} \mathcal{M}^{I^+}$ be the restriction functor. For $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ in $\mathcal{M}^I$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}^{I^+}$) and $g : A \longrightarrow B$ in $\mathcal{M}$, let $f \diamond g : X \otimes B \coprod_{X \otimes A} Y \otimes A \longrightarrow Y \otimes B$. Assume that $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ is a cofibration in $\mathcal{M}^I$ and $g : A \longrightarrow B$ is a cofibration in $\mathcal{M}$, T preserves (trivial) cofibrations. By step 1, $T(f) \diamond g$ is a cofibrations which is trivial if f or g is, or $T(f) \diamond g = T(f \diamond g)$ and T reflects (trivial) cofibrations, thus $f \diamond g$ is a cofibration which is trivial if f or g is. ∎

4.2. Remarks.

1. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a cofibrantly generated model category with U (resp. V) as a set of generating cofibrations (resp. trivial cofibrations). If I is a direct category, and $i \in I$, by Lemma 2.3, the functor $F_i : \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}^I$ given by $F_i(M) = h_i \otimes M$ is left adjoint to the i-evaluation $Ev_i : \mathcal{M}^I \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$, by Remark (5.1.8) in [Hovey, 1999], $\mathcal{M}^I$ is cofibrantly generated with $\coprod_{i\in I} F_i(U)$ (resp. $\coprod_{i\in I} F_i(V)$) as a set of generating cofibrations (resp. trivial cofibrations)

2. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a cofibrantly generated model category which has a closed symmetric monoidal structure and an internal Homomorphism functor Hom. Assume further that $\mathcal{N}$ satisfies the unit axiom (Axiom 2 of Definition 4.2.6 in [Hovey, 1999]); then, by Lemma 4.2.4 in [Hovey, 1999] the following three properties are equivalent

1. The model category structure on $\mathcal{N}$ is compatible with the monoidal structure.

2. If $f : A \longrightarrow B$ and $g : C \to D$ are generating (trivial) cofibrations in $\mathcal{N}$, then the induced map

$$A \otimes D \coprod_{A\otimes C} B \otimes C \longrightarrow B \otimes D$$

is a cofibration which is trivial if f or g is.

3. If $f : A \longrightarrow B$ is a generating (trivial) cofibration and $g : X \to Y$ is a (trivial) fibrations in $\mathcal{N}$, then the induced map

$$Hom(B, X) \longrightarrow Hom(A, X) \times_{Hom(A,Y)} Hom(B, Y)$$

is a fibration which is trivial if f is a trivial cofibration or g is a trivial fibration.

4.3. Lemma. *Let I be a direct category, $\mathcal{M}$ a closed symmetric monoidal model category with cofibrant unit k, $p, q \in I$ and $A \longrightarrow B$ a (trivial) cofibration in $\mathcal{M}$, then, with the Reedy model category structure*

1. *$h_p \otimes h_q$ is cofibrant*

2. *$h_p \otimes h_q \otimes f : h_p \otimes h_q \otimes A \longrightarrow h_p \otimes h_q \otimes B$ is a (trivial) cofibration in $\mathcal{M}^I$.*

Proof. For $i \in I$, let $I((p, q), i)$ be the subset of $I(p, i) \times I(q, i)$ of elements (α, β) such that there exists

$$\begin{cases} j \in I, j \neq i \\ \theta \in I(j, i) \\ (\alpha', \beta') \in I(p, j) \times I(q, j) \end{cases}$$

with $\alpha = \theta o \alpha'$ and $\beta = \theta o \beta'$.

An easy verification shows that the latching object $L_i(h_p \otimes h_q)$ is given by

$$L_i(h_p \otimes h_q) \cong \coprod_{I((p,q),i)} k$$

or

$$h_p(i) \otimes h_q(i) \cong \coprod_{I(p,i)\times I(q,i)} k$$

the unit k is cofibrant, thus the map

$$L_i(h_p \otimes h_q) \longrightarrow h_p(i) \otimes h_q(i)$$

is a cofibration and $h_p \otimes h_q$ is cofibrant, f is a trivial cofibration, by 4.1, $h_p \otimes h_q \otimes f$ is a (trivial) cofibration in $\mathcal{M}^I$. ∎

4.4. Theorem. *Suppose that I is a Reedy category and $\mathcal{M}$ is a cofibrantly generated closed symmetric monoidal model category with cofibrant unit k, then $\mathcal{M}^I$ is a closed symmetric monoidal model category.*

Proof. By Corollary 3.5 $\mathcal{M}^I$ closed symmetric monoidal category. The unit axiom for $\mathcal{M}^I$ follows immediatly from the unit axiom for $\mathcal{M}$. It remains to prove the pushout axiom.

Step 1: I is direct
Let $f : A \longrightarrow B$ be a cofibration $\mathcal{M}$ and $g : X \to Y$ be a fibration in $\mathcal{M}^I$, $F_q : \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}^I$ be the left adjoint of the q-evaluation $Ev_q : \mathcal{M}^I \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$, by Lemma 2.3,

$$F_q(f) = h_q \otimes f : h_q \otimes A \longrightarrow h_q \otimes B$$

and by Remarks 4.2.1 and 4.2.2 , we only need to prove that

$$Hom(h_q \otimes B, X) \longrightarrow Hom(h_q \otimes A, X) \times_{Hom(h_q \otimes A, Y)} Hom(h_q \otimes B, Y)$$

is a fibration which is trivial if f or g is. By Corollary 3.5, the induced objectwise maps of this last map are the maps

$$Map_{\mathcal{M}^I}(h_p \otimes h_q \otimes B, X) \longrightarrow Map_{\mathcal{M}^I}(h_p \otimes h_q \otimes A, X) \times_{Map_{\mathcal{M}^I}(h_p \otimes h_q \otimes A, Y)} Map_{\mathcal{M}^I}(h_p \otimes h_q \otimes B, Y)$$

by Lemma 4.3

$$h_p \otimes h_q \otimes f : h_p \otimes h_q \otimes A \longrightarrow h_p \otimes h_q \otimes B$$

is a cofibration which is trivial if f is, thus, by Proposition 4.1; the objectwise map

$$Map_{\mathcal{M}^I}(h_p \otimes h_q \otimes B, X) \longrightarrow Map_{\mathcal{M}^I}(h_p \otimes h_q \otimes A, X) \times_{Map_{\mathcal{M}^I}(h_p \otimes h_q \otimes A, Y)} Map_{\mathcal{M}^I}(h_p \otimes h_q \otimes B, Y)$$

is a fibration which is trivial if f or g, therefore the map

$$Hom(h_q \otimes B, X) \longrightarrow Hom(h_q \otimes A, X) \times_{Hom(h_q \otimes A, Y)} Hom(h_q \otimes B, Y)$$

is a fibration which is trivial if f or g is.

Step 2: the general case
The result is immediate consequence of step 1 and the fact that the restriction functor $\mathcal{M}^I \xrightarrow{T} \mathcal{M}^{I^+}$ is monoidal, preserves and reflects (trivial) cofibrations. ∎

References

C. Barwick, On Reedy Model Categories. arXiv:math/0708.2832

C. Berger, I. Moerdijk. On an extension of the notion of Reedy category. Math. Z. 269, 2011.

F. Borceux, Handbook of categorical algebra 2, categories and structures, Encyclopedia of Math- ematics and its Applications, vol. 51, Cambridge University Press, 1994.

E. J. Dubuc, Kan extensions in enriched category theory, Springer, 1970.

W. G. Dwyer, P. S. Hirschhorn, D. M. Kan, Model categories and More General Abstract Homotopy theory: A Work in What We Like to Think of as Progress, Preprint.

P. Goerss and J. Jardine Simplicial Homotopy Theory, Progress in Mathematics 174, *Birkhäuser* 1999.

P.S. Hirschhorn, Model categories and their localizations, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 99, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.

M. Hovey, Model categories, Surveys and Monographs of the Amer. Math.Soc. 63, 1999.

M. Hovey, Monoidal model categories, preprint, 1998.

G. M. Kelly, Basic concepts of enriched category theory, Repr. Theory Appl. Categ, 2005.

S. MacLane, Categories for the working mathematician, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 5, Springer-Verlag, 1971.

D. Quillen Homotopical algebra, Lecture notes in Mathematics 43, Springer, Berlin, 1967.

S. Schwede and B. Shipley, Algebras and modules in monoidal model categories Proc. London Math. Soc. 80, 2000.

Institut Préparatoire aux Etudes d'Ingénieurs Elmanar, B.P 244, Tunis 2092, Tunis Elmanar University, Tunisia.

National School of Computer Science (ENSI), Manouba University, Manouba 2010, Tunisia.

Email: moncef.ghazel@gmail.com
fethi.kadhi@ensi-uma.tn